

3 September 2019

Mr Greg Sullivan Hunter and Central Coast Planning Panel Acting Director Central Coast and Hunter Planning Assessments Po Box 1226 Newcastle NSW 2300

Your ref: SCC 2019 MCOAS 002 Enquiries: Petula Bowden

Dear Sir

### Site Compatibility Certificate - 333 Diamond Beach Road, Diamond Beach.

Council's Planning Team has reviewed the Site Compatibility Certificate Application for Lot 321 DP 1242093 (No. 333 Diamond Beach Road Diamond Beach) and offers the following comments:

### <u>Strategic</u>

Council's draft Housing Strategy is anticipated to be reported to Council on 25 September 2019 seeking endorsement for progression to exhibition. This strategy will identify land to the immediate east of this land (Ramada and Seashells Resorts) to be rezoned from SP3 -Tourist to R3- Medium Density Residential as part of the new MidCoast LEP. If supported by Council and DPIE this would see land to the east of the site accommodating a medium density built form with 3-4 storey development.

In the longer term long-term Council envisages that subsequent housing strategies would recommend rezoning the remaining rural land between the current SP3 zone to the east and the Nature Reserve to the west (i.e. the rural zone in this location is not seen as appropriate long-term as it has minimal agricultural value and is more suited to residential and environmental zones reflective of the site constraints). Indicative residential zones would be R2 or R1.

Taking into consideration the future likely character of this immediate area, a Seniors Living development on 333 Diamond Beach Road would be in keeping with the desired future character in regard to built form.

### <u>Ecology</u>

This property is currently under investigation by the EPA in relation to the removal of native vegetation. Photos below show evidence of significant clearing on the property over the last 6 years, particularly from the Moor Creek riparian area and the previously identified Swamp Sclerophyll Forest Endangered Ecological Community, which is now proposed for development (see area circled in red on photo below). [Photos of the clearing and associated damage to Moor Creek can be provided at DPIE's request.] The current consent which relates to this property includes conditions to retain and regenerate this area in accordance with an approved Ecological Site Management Plan. The current consent also contains conditions around the retention of a 30m wide habitat corridor for the threatened Wallum Froglet, which has also been cleared and now proposed for development.



On page 7of the Site Compatibility Certificate Report it is stated that the vegetation cover across the site has been modified as a result of past activities which include low intensity grazing and rural residential occupation. There is no dwelling on the site and it has not been occupied for residential purposes. The cleared status of the land is being relied upon to facilitate the development footprint.

Figure 9 on page 19 does not accurately map the native vegetation surrounding the site, nor the E2 zoned land to the East which is significant for ecological connectivity purposes.

The SCC does not acknowledge the EEC vegetation mapped on the site in the context of the additional clearing required. The tree removal plan clearly shows a number of trees to be

removed, however the Vegetation Assessment Report states that only native grassland is to be cleared.



Please note that the Site Compatibility Certificate Report refers to the *Native Vegetation Act* which has been repealed by the *Local Land Services Act* and no longer requires dual consent for developments on rural zoned land. Any subsequent DA will need to address the provisions of the new *Biodiversity Conservation Act*.

The Vegetation Assessment Report is outdated and references a site layout applicable to the previous application for a SCC on this site.

### **Density**

The current proposal indicates dwelling numbers of 240 across a land area of 20.5 ha.

While the density is not inconsistent with that typical of other seniors living developments, in this locality the density is inconsistent with the character of the existing surrounding development. The proposed layout does not demonstrate adequate consideration of immediately adjoining development types in terms of setbacks, separation, and clustering to emulate a rural residential style of development.

In the event that a Site Compatibility Certificate is issued it is Council's preference that it not specify the number of dwellings permitted on the site, but rather excludes certain sensitive areas of the site from development and reinforces that the development achieve objectives focused on density and compatibility with adjoining developments.

### **Facilities and Services**

The availability of services to the development are restricted by the location of the site at the northern most end of Diamond Beach Road and the distance of some 3.4km to the Hallidays Point shops.

### Existing Development Approvals

632/2010/DA and 317/2008/DA currently exist on this land in conjunction with Lot 320.

The proposed development layout does not reflect the current approved development footprint (317/2008/DA) which incorporates a much larger conservation area referred to as the Moor Creek Environmental Corridor (refer to APZ & Riparian Zone Site Plan prepared by Austin McFarland Architects and dated 4/11/11). Previously a 50m wide riparian corridor was proposed (which has now been reduced to 40m) and a 30m wide Wallum Froglet Corridor, which is absent from the proposal.

### Site layout

The proposal does not provide a development footprint that indicates all required roadways, dwellings, stormwater management devices etc to enable the comprehensive assessment of the total site impacts. The location of detention devices may increase the need for further vegetation removal, or reduced dwelling numbers.

Additional justification to demonstrate how the applicant has addressed the issues raised by the Department in the previous refusal of a SCC on this land is considered necessary (see 1.3 of Report). Specifically:

- a. It is not clear how the proposed development is 'in keeping' with the existing large lot residential development to the south;
- b. The proposed layout plan does not demonstrate clustering of lots. It is also not considered that the 'Edgewater Shores' development can be characterised as a 'medium density residential subdivision' and form justification for the subject proposal; and
- c. The Lake Cathie shopping centre is not an equivalent facility to the Hallidays Point Shops and cannot be used as justification for the distance of the proposed development to suitable facilities.

Yours sincerely

Petula Bowden Senior Town Planner Development Services



## Legal Advice

To Ben Holmes, A/Team Leader, Hunter Region

FromKritika Naidu, Legal Officer, Legal Service DivisionPhone: (02) 9373 2823 Fax: (02) 9228 6388 Email: kritika.naidu@planning.nsw.gov.au

Date 18 October 2017

Legal Advice – Senior Housing SEPP – Lot 200 in Deposited Plan 740455 known as 333 Diamond Beach Road, Diamond Beach NSW 2430

### Contents

Page 2
Page 2
Page 2
Page 3

### Advice sought

We refer to your memorandum dated 6 October 2017 requesting legal advice in relation to whether the *State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability)* 2004 (**SEPP)** applies to land at Lot 200 in Deposited Plan 740455 known as 333 Diamond Beach Road, Diamond Beach NSW 2430 (Land).

The question you have asked for advice and a summary of our advice in response to the question is set out below.

### Summary of advice

Questions: Does the SEPP apply to the Land?

<u>Answer:</u> The better view is 'yes' because, while the Land itself is not zoned for urban purpose, it "adjoins" land zoned R1 General Residential, which we consider to be land zoned primarily for urban purposes.

### Background

We understand that a site compatibility certificate has been sought for the Land under clause 24 of the SEPP for a seniors housing proposal. Clause 24 provides, where the development is proposed to be executed on land that "adjoins land zoned primarily for urban purposes", the consent authority will not be able to grant consent unless the Secretary has issued a site compatibility certificate.

We are instructed, via the map provided in the request for legal advice that:

- a) the Land is zoned RU1 Primary Production, under the *Greater Taree Local Environmental Plan 2010* (**LEP**); and
- b) the land immediately to the southern extremity of the Land (i.e. at the point immediately opposite the intersection of Diamond Beach Road and Old Soldiers Road), is zoned R1 General Residential.

We note we have not been instructed on the distance between the Land and the land zoned R1.

You have asked us to advise whether the SEPP applies to the Land, in particular, on the basis that the Land 'adjoins land zoned primarily for urban purposes'.

In preparing this advice, we have considered the following documents:

- a) legal advice prepared for the Applicant by Counsel, dated 3 February 2016; and
- b) site compatibility certificate application as provided to us as Attachment A.

### Advice

We have reviewed the advice received by the Applicant from Counsel and agree with that advice. The advice which follows is our view on the matter.

### Is the land zoned primarily for urban purposes?

Under clause 4(1) of the SEPP, the SEPP applies to land within New South Wales that is land zoned primarily for urban purposes or land that adjoins land zoned primarily for urban purposes, but only if:

- a) development for the purpose of any of the following is permitted on the land:
   (i) dwelling-houses,
  - (ii) residential flat buildings,
  - (iii) hospitals,

(iv) development of a kind identified in respect of land zoned as special uses, including (but not limited to) churches, convents, educational establishments, schools and seminaries, or

b) the land is being used for the purposes of an existing registered club

Under clause 4(2) of the SEPP, land is not zoned primarily for urban purposes if it is:

- a) identified as principally for rural uses;
- b) a zoned that is identified as principally for urban investigation; or
- c) a zone that is identified as principally for residential uses on large residential allotments (for example, zones R5 large Residential and RU6 Transition referred to in the standard instrument for principal local environmental planning instruments).

It is important to consider the objectives of the LEP, the objectives of the zone and the permitted purposes under the zone when determining whether the Land is identified as principally for rural purposes.

The Land is zoned RU1, which has the objectives of, amongst other objectives, encouraging sustainable primary industry production by maintaining and enhancing the natural resource base, encouraging diversity in primary industry enterprises and systems appropriate for the area and permitting small scale rural tourism uses associated with primary production and environmental conservation with minimal impact on primary production and the scenic amenity of the area. RU1 zoned land in the LEP permits use of the land without consent for extensive agriculture, forestry, home occupations and intensive plant agriculture.

In our view, having regarded the objective of the LEP and the permitted and prohibited uses of RU1 zoned land in the LEP, the Land is zoned principally for rural purposes and pursuant to clause 4(2)(a) of the SEPP, the Land is not zoned primarily for urban purposes.

Given the above, the relevant question is then whether the Land 'adjoins' land 'zoned primarily for urban purposes', such that the SEPP applies.

Legal professional privilege may attach to this document. Disclosing this document or discussing its contents with a third party, may mean that legal professional privilege is lost. Please contact the Legal Services Branch before this document or its contents are disclosed to a third party.

### Does the Land adjoin land zoned primarily for urban purposes?

The land to the northern end of the Land is zoned RU1 under the LEP. As stated above, RU1 land is not characterised as land which is primarily zoned for urban purposes. The land to the southern end of the Land is zoned R5 under the LEP. Pursuant to clause 4(2)(c) of the SEPP, land zoned R5 is not land zoned primarily for urban purposes

The southern extremity of the Land borders the road intersection of Diamond Beach Road and Old Soldiers Road which leads to land which is zoned R1 under the LEP. The relevant questions are whether:

- a) the land zoned R1 is 'zoned primarily for urban purposes'; and
- b) the land zoned R1 'adjoins' the Land, such that the SEPP applies.

We have reviewed a number of cases that have considered whether the land is zoned primarily for urban purposes. The Oxford English Dictionary defines 'urban' as meaning 'pertaining to, or constituting a city or town'.

An interpretation of 'urban purpose' being a purpose 'pertaining to a city or town' has also been adopted by the Courts in various cases including:

- a) Modog v Baulkham Hills Shire Council [2000] NSWLEC 180; (2000) 109 LGERA 443 at [12];
- b) Murlan Consulting v Ku-ring-gai Municipal Council [2007] NSWLEC 182 at [23]; and
- c) Warriewood Properties Pty Ltd v Pittwater Council [2010] NSWLEC 215.

The courts have held that these 'purposes' will include:

- a) dwelling houses, residential flat buildings, hospital, churches, schools etc1;
- b) public recreational purposes including parks, gardens, recreation area, playgrounds, sports ground etc<sup>2</sup>; and
- c) development for the purposes of utility installation, including the supply of water, electricity and gas etc<sup>3</sup>.

Under the LEP, objectives of land zoned R1 include providing for housing needs of the community, providing for a variety of housing types and densities and enabling other land uses that provide facilities or services to meet the day to day needs of residents. Furthermore, R1 zoned land under the LEP permits (amongst others) dwelling houses, places of public worship such as churches and residential flat buildings which categorises the land as land primary for urban purposes. Therefore, considering the above, our conclusion is that land zoned R1 under the SEPP is land zoned primarily for urban purposes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Modog v Baulkham Hills Shire Council [2000] NSWLEC 180; (2000) 109 LGERA 443.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Dougruby Pty Ltd v Wingecarribee Shire Council [2004] NSWLEC 192 at [17].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Murlan Consulting v Ku-ring-gai Municipal Council [2007] NSWLEC 182.

Legal professional privilege may attach to this document. Disclosing this document or discussing its contents with a third party, may mean that legal professional privilege is lost. Please contact the Legal Services Branch before this document or its contents are disclosed to a third party.

As for whether it 'adjoins' the Land, under clause 4(4) of the SEPP,

"land that adjoins land that is zoned primarily for urban purposes includes (but is not limited to) land that would directly adjoin land that is zoned primarily for urban purposes but for the presence of a public road to which there is direct vehicular and pedestrian access from the adjoining land".

The Courts has considered what constitutes land 'adjoining' land zoned primarily for urban purposes. The Courts have considered the term "adjoin" is not restricted to land that directly adjoins or abuts urban land and is intended to bear its loose sense of 'near to' or 'neighbouring on' rather than its exact meaning of 'is conterminous with'<sup>4</sup>.

In the case of ACN 115 840 509 Pty Limited v Kiama Municipal Council [2006] NSWLEC 151 (Kiama), the court held that the urban land was held to be 'adjoining' despite being approximately 65 meters away from the subject land, and was separated by the Princess Highway and Illawarra railway line. The Court also held that it was irrelevant that direct vehicular and pedestrian access across the Highway and railway line to the residential zoned land did not exist and that the connecting road involved a distance of over 1 kilometre.

In the case of *Hornsby Shire Council v Malcom* [1986] 60 LGERA 29 at [433-434] (**Malcom**), the Court of Appeal held that 'adjoining' is not intended to mean that immediate physical contiguity must exists. Instead, it denotes proximity to the land in question. In that case Kirby P observed that road reserved exist as a normal feature of urban development. Kirby P went on to stated that in determining whether the subject land 'adjoins' the urban land, one must consider the purpose of the policy which is to ensure that homes for the aged or disabled should be constructed in urban area both for the interests of residents and for the saving of costs to the community.

Both the Kiama and Malcom case and clause 4(4) of the SEPP support the proposition that where non-urban land is separated from urban land by an intervening lot, such as a road, and/or a minor distance, the non-urban land may reasonably be found to be in 'sufficient proximity' to the urban land so as to satisfy clause 4(4) of the SEPP.

The advice received by the Applicant from Counsel states that the extent to which the lands would be directly adjoined by a common boundary, but for the road, is relatively minimal. Counsel's advice concludes that in the circumstances the Land both 'directly adjoins' (but for the presence of the public road) and is also near to or is neighbouring or is in sufficient proximity to the land which is zoned R1.

Based on our analysis above, we agree with Counsel's advice in that there appears to be a reasonable basis that the Land can be considered to 'adjoin' land zoned primarily for urban purposes because:

- a) The land zoned R1 can be categorised as land zoned primary for urban purposes and satisfies clause 4(1) of the SEPP;
- b) While the Land and land zoned R1 are not directly connecting to one another, they can be said to be both 'near', 'neighbouring on' and in 'close proximity' to one another, fitting the scope of 'adjoining';
- c) Similar to the Kiama case, the southern extremity of the Land would adjoin land zoned R1 but for the presence of the public road; and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Auckland Lai v Warringah Shire Council (1985) 58 LGERA 276 at 9283-284].

Legal professional privilege may attach to this document. Disclosing this document or discussing its contents with a third party, may mean that legal professional privilege is lost. Please contact the Legal Services Branch before this document or its contents are disclosed to a third party.

d) we do not believe the land zoned R1 falls under any of the exclusions in Schedule 1 of the SEPP, having regards to the Court of Appeal decision in *Pepperwood Ridge v Newcastle City Council* [2006] NSWCA 122.

For this reason, our view is that the SEPP does apply to the Land.

Klutu

Kritika Naidu Legal Officer Legal Services Division

Margaret Cheung Principal Legal Officer Legal Services Division

Legal professional privilege may attach to this document. Disclosing this document or discussing its contents with a third party, may mean that legal professional privilege is lost. Please contact the Legal Services Branch before this document or its contents are disclosed to a third party.

#### Our ref: PR141436-1



Date: 4 October 2019

Unit 2A, 45 Fitzroy Street Carrington NSW 2294 T +61 2 4940 4200

Department of Planning, Industry and Environment Level 2 26 Honeysuckle Drive NEWCASTLE NSW 2300

Attention: James Shelton, Acting Team Leader

Dear James,

# Additional information for site compatibility certificate – SCC\_2019\_MCOAS\_002\_00

RPS wishes to provide the following additional information to the Department of Planning, Infrastructure and Environment (DPIE) in relation to the above site compatibility certificate application.

The information relates to the assessment of the likely demands arising from the proposed development (particularly retail, community and medical services having regard to the location and access requirements set out in clause 26 of the *State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004).* The information provides data of 50 existing seniors living developments in relation to their location to retail nodes, GPs / medical practises and hospitals.

It is requested that the additional information is considered in the DPIE assessment of the abovementioned application as it clearly illustrates the subject site is well within normal distances as defined by similar existing developments in the area.

### Location and access to facilities from the site

The site is located within the MidCoast local government area (LGA) approximately 650m from the Diamond Beach shops and approximately 3.8km from the Hallidays Point Village Square. The Village Square includes a Foodworks Supermarket, Chemist, Bakery and Café, Butchery, Newsagent, Automatic Teller Machine and Post Office. The Hallidays Point Tavern is located 200 metres to the west of the Village Square.

A medical centre is located immediately to the east of the Hallidays Point Tavern which includes GP services and pathology. Medical specialists and public and private hospitals are also available in Taree, Forster and Tuncurry and the area is served by several home nursing care services.

Road access from the site to the retail and medical services is along Diamond Beach and a shorter leg along Blackhead Road.

A regular minibus service will be provided by the Resort, linking future residents to retail, community and support services in the area including but not limited to shops, banks, health and wellbeing and recreational facilities. The minibus service will provide services multiple times each and every day to not only the Hallidays Point Village Square but also the Tallwoods Golf Club, Foster-Tuncurry and Taree. Residents will therefore have an obvious and reliable alternative to the use of private vehicles. This



operational aspect of the site / Resort negates the need for residents to rely on local public transport and will further strengthen the community feel of the development. Indeed, this type of community transport will be a factor in ensuring strong community bonds are formed within the Resort.

A daily local bus service from Eggins Comfort Coaches services Diamond Beach along Diamond Beach Road. The evolving and more urbanised character of the broader area will increase demand for further and more frequent bus services in the future.

Reticulated water and sewer are available to the land and are provided by MidCoast Water. Electricity and telecommunications are also available in the area.

### Other seniors living developments in the region

RPS has carried out a locational analysis of 50 constructed senior living developments in the region. The analysis was confined to predominately coastal locations being the eastern side of the Pacific Highway within the MidCoast local government area (35 sites), the Tomaree Peninsula and eastern side of the Port Stephens LGA (10 sites) and other examples within Port Macquarie-Hastings, Lake Macquarie and Maitland LGAs (5 sites).

### Methodology

Utilising the data bases contained within NSW Six Maps, Google Earth and Google RPS identified 51 existing seniors living sites. Utilising the same data bases RPS then identified retail, hospitals, GP's and medical practices in the closest proximity to each of the locations. Distances between each of the existing seniors living sites and the closest retail, hospital and medical practices were then calculated. The results from the data collection were converted into an Excel spreadsheet.

Comparisons were then made against the location distances applicable to the project site being 333 Diamond Beach Road. The site is 3,800 metres from the Hallidays Point Village Square (noting two small shops exist at Diamond Beach some 650 metres from the site), 3,800 metres from the closest GP / medical practice and 19 kilometres from the nearest hospital. All data is contained in **Attachment 1**.

Aerial plans indicating the location of the existing seniors living sites within the MidCoast LGA are contained in **Attachment 2**.

In relation to the data contained in **Attachment 1** it has been acknowledged by the planning profession that 800 metres represents a typical 10-minute walk. Studies into urban design and pedestrian movement indicates that pedestrian movement to retail and medical services beyond 800 metres drops significantly and alternate modes of movement such as public transport, bicycles and private vehicles are chosen by individuals. Hence the data in **Attachment 1** refers to 10-minute walking distances for comparison purposes.

### Results of the location analysis of other seniors living developments

Results from the analysis, based upon the 50 sites, include the following:

- 76% of the sites are outside the nearest retail (shops) node in terms of walking distance;
- 70% of the sites are outside the nearest GP / medical in terms of walking distance;
- 96% of the sites are outside the nearest hospital in terms of walking distance;
- 48% of the total number of sites have similar or greater distances from the nearest shops;

#### Our ref: PR141436-1



- 40% of the total number of sites have similar or greater distances from the nearest GP / medical practice; and
- 42% of the total number of sites have similar or greater distances from the nearest hospital.

The above is illustrated in the bar chart below.



### Conclusion

The information provided clearly indicates that the vast majority of the existing seniors living developments identified by RPS are beyond a 10 minute (800 metre) walk from the respective site to shops, GP / medical practice and hospital.

At a minimum 40% of the nominated sites have similar or greater distances between them and retail nodes (shops), GP's / medical practices and hospitals.

The underlying theme from this analysis is that the proposed site is well within normal limits when compared to distance from important services.

We request that the additional information provided be considered in the DPIE assessment of the abovementioned application particularly in relation to retail, community, medical and transport services having regard to the location and access requirements set out in clause 26 and clause 25(5)(b)(iii) of *State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing for Seniors or People with a Disability) 2004).* 

#### Our ref: PR141436-1



Yours sincerely, for RPS Australia East Pty Ltd

Klyer

Rob Dwyer Planning Manager - Newcastle rob.dwyer@rpsgroup.com.au 4940 4200 0425 285 778

- Attachment 1 Locational data
- Attachment 2 Aerial plans



## ATTACHMENT 1 – LOCATIONAL DATA

**www.rpsgroup.com** RPS Australia East Pty Ltd. Registered in Australia No. 44 140 292 762.

FID	Туре	Name	LGA	Dist_Shops	Dist_Doc	Dist_Medic
9	Retirement Village	GREAT LAKES NURSING HOME	MC	940	186	7
	Nursing Home	GREAT LAKES AGED CARE BULAHDELAH	MC	880	133	13
	Community Home	TRANSITIONAL AGED CARE SERVICE-LOWER MID NTH COAST	MC	100	390	39
	Retirement Village	TAREE GARDENS TAREE	MC	1800	1500	150
	Nursing Home	BAPTISTCARE KULAROO CENTRE	MC	850	440	160
	Retirement Village	STORM RETIREMENT VILLAGE	MC	1800	2000	200
	Retirement Village	TAREE LEGACY VILLAGE	MC	1900	2200	220
0	Nursing Home	ESTIA HEALTH TUNCURRY	MC	720	720	250
29	Retirement Village	GLAICA GARDENS	MC	930	930	280
30	Retirement Village	GLAICA LION'S VILLAGE	MC	930	930	280
27	Community Home	GLAICA HOUSE	MC	1000	1000	290
28	Retirement Village	TUNCURRY LEGACY VILLAGE	MC	1200	1200	300
	Nursing Home	ESTIA HEALTH FORSTER	MC	1800	1300	300
	Retirement Village	GOLDEN PONDS RETIREMENT RESORT	MC	1900	1400	300
	Tourist Park / Home Village	CRYSTAL WATERS ESTATE	MC	1500	1570	315
	Retirement Village	BUSHLAND PLACE VILLA UNITS	MC	2800	944	320
	Community Home	BUSHLAND PLACE HOSTEL	MC	2800	870	320
	Community Home	ANGLICAN CARE STORM VILLAGE	MC	2800	820	320
	Community Home	KARINGAL GARDENS	MC	2880	3300	330
	Retirement Village	C C DRURY VILLAS	MC	3000	3300	330
	Nursing Home	ESTIA HEALTH TAREE	MC	4400	4000	40
	Retirement Village	SUNRISE SUPPORTED LIVING TUNCURRY	MC	2400	2400	420
	Retirement Village	NULAMA VILLAGE	MC	3800	4200	42
	Retirement Village	WARRANA PLACE	MC	4500	4200	42
	Retirement Village	NULAMA VILLAGE	MC	3950	4400	44
	Retirement Village	Oak Tree Retirement Village Rutherford	OT	1600	1600	49
	Nursing Home	CALVARY ST PAULS RETIREMENT COMMUNITY	MC	6000	6000	60
	Community Home	ANGLICAN CARE BISHOP TYRRELL PLACE	MC	6000	6000	60
	Retirement Village	Gateway Lifestyle Sea Winds, Anna Bay	PS	4200	4200	75
	Retirement Village	Middle Rock Home Village, Anna Bay	PS PS	3800	3800	75
			PS PS	400		
	Retirement Village Retirement Village	Gateway Lifestyle Homestead, Salamander Bay Gateway Lifestyle Salamander Bay	PS PS	500	400 500	104 109
			PS PS	2500	2500	
	Retirement Village	Ingenia Lifestyle Latitude One, Anna Bay	PS PS	2500	2500	109
	Retirement Village	Birubi Sands Anna Bay				110
	Retirement Village	Anna Bay Village	PS	2600	2600	120
8	Retirement Village	Gateway Lifestyle Birubi	PS	500	500	121
	Retirement Village	HALLIDAY SHORES	MC	287	450	132
	Retirement Village	BANYULA VILLAGE	MC	660	660	135
	Community Home	BANYULA LODGE	MC	660	660	135
	Retirement Village	CLIFFTON OLD BAR	MC	750	750	140
1	Retirement Village	Palm Lake Resort Fern Bay	PS	6000	0	146
		333 DIAMOND BEACH RD	MC	3800	3800	190
	Retirement Village	Ocean Club Resort, Lake Cathie	OT	2900	1400	207
	Retirement Village	Banskia Grove, Williamtown	PS	4400	4400	223
	Retirement Village	Ingenia Lifestyle The Grange Morisset	OT	1070	1070	261
	Nursing Home	ESTIA HEALTH TEA GARDENS	MC	566	566	280
	Retirement Village	TEA GARDENS GRANGE LIFESTYLE RESORT	MC	710	710	280
	Retirement Village	PALM LAKE RESORT TEA GARDENS	MC	1041	1041	300
	Community Home	PETER SINCLAIR GARDENS	MC	427	440	305
	Retirement Village	Laurieton Residential Resort, Laurieton	OT	650	6000	318
5	Retirement Village	79 Batar Creek Road Kendall Woodglen Lifestyle Resort	OT	1850	9500	320
		Total sites		50	50	
		Similar or greater distances to 333		24	20	
		Distances in orange or green		48.00%	40.00%	42.0
		Outside Walking Distance		38	35	-
		Distances greater than 800m		76.00%	70.00%	96.0
				Shons	Doctor	Hospital

Shops

Hospital

Doctor



<u>Walking distance</u> This refers to a typical 10 minute (800 m) walk.

Legend

Dist\_Shops column = distance to nearest shops Dist\_Doc column = distance to nearest GP or medical practice Dist\_Medic doc = distance to nearest hospital

Distances in red highlight is for 333 Diamond Beach Road, Diamond Beach Distances greater than walking distance but less than similar distances Distances in green highlight is for villages further from services than 333 DBR Distances in orange - Villages in comparable range (1800m - 3700m) to 333 DBR Medic in comparible range 10km to 19km

<u>Walking Distance</u> This refers to a typical 10 minute (800 m) walk.

FID	poitype	poilabel	supplydate	Dist_Shops	Dist_Medic	Dist_Doc	Local Shops
	Nursing Home	ESTIA HEALTH TUNCURRY	2018-01-31	720	2500	720	
	Nursing Home	ESTIA HEALTH TAREE	2018-01-31	4400	4000	4000	
	Nursing Home	CALVARY ST PAULS RETIREMENT COMMUNITY	2018-01-31	6000	6000	6000	
	Nursing Home	ESTIA HEALTH FORSTER	2018-01-31	1800	3000	1300	
	Nursing Home	GREAT LAKES AGED CARE BULAHDELAH	2018-01-31	880	133	133	
	Nursing Home	ESTIA HEALTH TEA GARDENS	2018-01-31	566	28000	566	
	Retirement Village	TEA GARDENS GRANGE LIFESTYLE RESORT	2018-01-31	710	28000	710	
	Community Home	PETER SINCLAIR GARDENS	2018-01-31	427	30500	440	
	Retirement Village	PALM LAKE RESORT TEA GARDENS	2018-01-31	1041	30000	1041	
	Retirement Village	GREAT LAKES NURSING HOME	2018-01-31	940	76	186	
10	Retirement Village	NULAMA VILLAGE	2018-01-31	3800	4200	4200	
	Community Home	KARINGAL GARDENS	2018-01-31	2880	3300	3300	
12	Retirement Village	NULAMA VILLAGE	2018-01-31	3950	4400	4400	
13	Retirement Village	WARRANA PLACE	2018-01-31	4500	4200	4200	
14	Retirement Village	TAREE LEGACY VILLAGE	2018-01-31	1900	2200	2200	
15	Retirement Village	STORM RETIREMENT VILLAGE	2018-01-31	1800	2000	2000	
16	Retirement Village	BUSHLAND PLACE VILLA UNITS	2018-01-31	2800	3200	944	
17	Community Home	BUSHLAND PLACE HOSTEL	2018-01-31	2800	3200	870	
18	Community Home	ANGLICAN CARE STORM VILLAGE	2018-01-31	2800	3200	820	
19	Retirement Village	TAREE GARDENS TAREE	2018-01-31	1800	1500	1500	
20	Retirement Village	C C DRURY VILLAS	2018-01-31	3000	3300	3300	
21	Community Home	TRANSITIONAL AGED CARE SERVICE-LOWER MID NTH COAST	2018-01-31	100	390	390	
22	Retirement Village	BANYULA VILLAGE	2018-01-31	660	13500	660	
23	Community Home	BANYULA LODGE	2018-01-31	660	13500	660	
24	Community Home	ANGLICAN CARE BISHOP TYRRELL PLACE	2018-01-31	6000	6000	6000	
25	Retirement Village	GOLDEN PONDS RETIREMENT RESORT	2018-01-31	1900	3000	1400	
26	Retirement Village	SUNRISE SUPPORTED LIVING TUNCURRY	2018-01-31	2400	4200	2400	
27	Community Home	GLAICA HOUSE	2018-01-31	1000	2900	1000	
	Retirement Village	TUNCURRY LEGACY VILLAGE	2018-01-31	1200	3000	1200	
29	Retirement Village	GLAICA GARDENS	2018-01-31	930	2800	930	
30	Retirement Village	GLAICA LION'S VILLAGE	2018-01-31	930	2800	930	
31	Nursing Home	BAPTISTCARE KULAROO CENTRE	2018-01-31	850	1600	440	
32	Retirement Village	HALLIDAY SHORES	2018-01-31	287	13260	450	
33	Tourist Park / Home Village	CRYSTAL WATERS ESTATE	2018-01-31	1500	3150	1570	
34	Retirement Village	CLIFFTON OLD BAR	2019-09-30	750	14000	750	
0		333 DIAMOND BEACH RD	2019-09-30	3800	19000	3800	650

 Legend
 Dist\_Shops column = distance to nearest shops

 Dist\_Doc column = distance to nearest GP or medical practice

 Dist\_Medic doc = distance to nearest hospital

 Distances in red highlight is for 333 Diamond Beach Road, Diamond Beach

Distances in red highlight is for ooo Diamond Deach road, Diamond Deach Distances greater than walking distance but less than similar distances Distances in green highlight is for villages further from services than 333 DBR Distances in orange - Villages in comparable range (1800m - 3700m) to 333 DBR Medic in comparible range 10km to 19km

#### Walking Distance

This refers to a typical 10 minute (800 m) walk.

### **Observations**

35 examples above

6 examples of villages further away than 333 DBR from shops and medical services / GPs.

17 examples of villages either further away from or in comparable range (1800m - 3700m) with 333 DBR to shops and medical services / GPs. 4 examples homes / villages further away than 333 DBR from hospital facilities

			Dist_Shops	Dist_Medic	Dist_Doc	Local Shops
1 Retirement Village	Palm Lake Resort Fern Bay	INHOUSE MEDICAL	6000	14600	0	
2 Retirement Village	Ingenia Lifestyle Latitude One, Anna Bay		2500	10900	2500	
3 Retirement Village	Gateway Lifestyle Homestead, Salamander Bay		400	10400	400	
4 Retirement Village	Birubi Sands Anna Bay		2500	11000	2500	
5 Retirement Village	Middle Rock Home Village, Anna Bay		3800	7700	3800	
6 Retirement Village	Gateway Lifestyle Sea Winds, Anna Bay		4200	7500	4200	
7 Retirement Village	Gateway Lifestyle Salamander Bay		500	10900	500	
8 Retirement Village	Gateway Lifestyle Birubi		500	12100	500	
9 Retirement Village	Anna Bay Village		2600	12000	2600	
10 Retirement Village	Banskia Grove, Williamtown		4400	22300	4400	
	333 DIAMOND BEACH RD		3800	19000	3800	650

### Legend

Dist\_Shops column = distance to nearest shops Dist\_Doc column = distance to nearest GP or medical practice Dist\_Medic doc = distance to nearest hospital

Distances in red highlight is for 333 Diamond Beach Road, Diamond Beach Distances greater than walking distance but less than similar distances Distances in green highlight is for villages further from services than 333 DBR Distances in orange - Villages in comparable range (1800m - 3700m) to 333 DBR Medic in comparible range 10km to 19km

#### Walking Distance

This refers to a typical 10 minute (800 m) walk.

### **Observations**

10 examples above

4 examples of villages further away than 333 DBR from shops and medical services / GPs (includes Palm Lake Reort Fern Bay which has 7 examples of villages either further away from or in comparable range (1800m - 3700m) with 333 DBR to shops and medical services / GPs

1 village further away than 333 DBR from hospital facilities

		Dist_Shops	Dist_Medic	Dist_Doc	Local Shops
1 Retirement Village	Ingenia Lifestyle The Grange Morisset	1070	26100	1070	
2 Retirement Village	Ocean Club Resort, Lake Cathie	2900	20700	1400	
3 Retirement Village	Oak Tree Retirement Village Rutherford	1600	4900	1600	
4 Retirement Village	Laurieton Residential Resort, Laurieton	650	31800	6000	
5 Retirement Village	79 Batar Creek Road Kendall Woodglen Lifestyle Resort	1850	32000	9500	
	333 DIAMOND BEACH RD	3800	19000	3800	650

Legend

Dist\_Shops column = distance to nearest shops Dist\_Doc column = distance to nearest GP or medical practice Dist\_Medic doc = distance to nearest hospital

Distances in red highlight is for 333 Diamond Beach Road, Diamond Beach
Distances greater than walking distance but less than similar distances
Distances in green highlight is for villages further from services than 333 DBR
Distances in orange - Villages in comparable range
(1800m - 3700m) to 333 DBR
Medic in comparible range 10km to 19km

### Walking Distance

This refers to a typical 10 minute (800 m) walk.

#### **OBSERVATIONS**

5 examples above

2 examples of villages further away than 333 DBR 3 examples of villages either further away from or in comparable range (1800m - 3700m) with 333 DBR to shops and medical services / GPs 4 villages further away than 333 DBR from hospital facilities



### **ATTACHMENT 2 – AERIAL PLANS**

**www.rpsgroup.com** RPS Australia East Pty Ltd. Registered in Australia No. 44 140 292 762.

A 15000 425000 425000 430000 435000 435000 445000 445000 45500 GHINNI60 GROKI 465000 GHINNI60 GROKI 46500 GROKI 46500 GHINNI60 GROKI 46500 GHINNI60 GROKI 46500 45500GHINNI60GROKI 4650009 7 WIRRADGURIE TINONEE PURFLEET CABBAGE A KIN TREE BAKERS CREEK BURRELL CREEK ISLAND THE PINES BACK CREEK BELBORA KUNDIBAKH KOORAINGHAT WALLABI POINT OLD-BAR EKROAD RAINBOW FLAT COATES ROAD SALTWATER GANGAT -OGRANI TITAATEE CREEK DIAMOND BEACH KRAMBACH TIPPERARY WALLANBAH TAHPIT BUCCA WAUKA COCUMBARK NABIAC ARK-POSSUM BRUSH RED HEAD **WAUKIVORY** AERODROME TO DARAWANK UPPER BUNYAH WANG HILLCREST ROAD WAUK WAUK WILLINA MINIMBAH BUNYAH TUNOURRY WALLINGAT WARRANULLA FORSTER KEYS BULLOCK FLAT RANGERS CORNER TERREEL MITCHELLS ROAD COOMBA BAY **GREEN POINT** UPPER MYALL NEWELLS CREEK WOOTTON FERNYCREEK WHOOTA YARRIC MARKWELL ELIZABETH BEACH BOOMERANG BEACH 1040 Revo Cank ROAD ERSCREEKA KENSTR CHICHWAY MAYERS FLAT ROSENTHAL BOOLAMBAYTE GNAHPEANG MYALL LAKE SEAL®ROCKS KATAWAY CRAWFORD RIVER YAGON TICKERABIL MIDDLE CAMP SEAL ROCKS ROAD GIRVAN



J:\JOBS\141K\141436 Diamond Beach\10 - Drafting\Arcgis Map Documents\Planning\Retirement Villages Location Map A A3P 20190930.mxd

AA3 (Natalie.Wood) DATE : 30/09/2019



J:\/OBS\141K1141436 Diamond Beach\10 - Drafting\Arcgis Map Documents\Planning\Retirement Villages Bulahdelah Map A A3P 20191001.mxd



J:\JOBS\141K\141436 Diamond Beach\10 - Drafting\Arcgis Map Documents\Planning\Retirement Villages Diamond Beach Map A A3P 20190930.mxd

A A3 (Natalie.Wood) DATE : 2/10/2019



J:UOBS\141K1141436 Diamond Beach\10 - Drafting\Arcgis Map Documents\Planning\Retirement Villages Forster\_Tuncurry Map A A3P 20190930.mxd



J:\JOBS\141K)141436 Diamond Beach\10 - Drafting\Arcgis Map Documents\Planning\Retirement Villages Old Bar Map A A3P 20190930.mxd



J:\JOBS\141K\141436 Diamond Beach\10 - Drafting\Arcgis Map Documents\Planning\Retirement Villages Taree Map A A3L 20190930.mxd

<b>e</b> 45	4000	45500	DOI 100	
Coast Area (	distances ir	n metres)	ala and it was	- State
	Dist_Shops	Dist_Medic	Dist_Doc	Ø
	4400	4000	4000	174
γ	6000	6000	6000	97
	2880	3300	3300	-
	3950	4400	4400	2.
	4500	4200	4200	
	1900	2200	2200	-
	1800	2000	2000	
	2800	3200	944	-
	2800	3200	870	00
	2800	3200	820	130
	1800	1500	1500	647
	3000	3300	3300	1
MID NTH COAST	100	390	390	- P
	6000	6000	6000	La



J:UOBS/141K141436 Diamond Beach/10 - Drafting\Arcgis Map Documents\Planning\Retirement Villages Tea Gardens\_Hawks Nest Map AA3P 20191001.mxd